G. R. S. 58]

*Previous references.*

File No. 308-15

1916.

Serial Nos. 1-8

( Inwards )

*Later references.* 298-16.

2-7 197-17

230-39-142

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

---

*Serial Nos.* 1— 8.

**Part B for** Aug. 16.

---

SUBJECT.

Archakship of Sri Gangadhareswaraswami temple at Bhimanakatte.

---

To. Dy Commr. Shimoga. No. 435 D 9-8-16.

Forwards him a copy of the final order, dismissing the appeal in question with reference to his letter &c 7.

W D 1551—G.R.S.—20,000—13-12-15

B. R. Appadorai
S. to H. D. 12. 9. 16.

G. R. S. 11] 1915

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inwards)

NOTES.

File No 308-15

FILE HEADING.

Archakship of Sri Sangadhareswara Swami Temple at Bhimanakatte.

From

The Swamigalu of Sri Shankaranda matha at Bhimanakatte

~~No.~~ Petition Dated 12-11-15 Received —

| Diary No. | Enclos. 2 | Spare Copies. | Serial No |
|---|---|---|---|
| 796 | | | 1 |

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Appeals against the Dy. Commrs. order directing that the Swamigalu is not entitled to appoint the Archaka of the said Temple and that the scale agreed upon by the matha Agent Hayagrivachar for services in the Temple etc. is not binding on the Swami.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The appeal is duly stamped and is within time. A draft calling for report with records is submitted for appl. or other orders, together with an endt to the apltt. Advocate.

NW 185 3-12-15.

NOTES—First Sheet. 4/12/15

CPB—20 000—8-8-14

. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 308 of 1915.

Serial No.

fr appl,

7-12-15

Issue

2 2 dc of Shimoga - No: 4436 dy 11.12.15, for the above fr report.

3 No: 4435 dy 11.12.15, dc (the applt through his advocate) Mr C. Krishna Rao, Bangalore - defrs herein the above -

4. From, Mr C. Krishna Rao, Advocate, for the Swami of Bhimanakatte matha

Petition dy 11.1.1916.

States that Rama Bhat (Archak of the Temple of Sri Sangadhareswara Swami and his opponent) has been executing the Dy Commrs order and the Taluk authorities have adopted measures to recover from the petr Rs 450/- by the sale of the matha property, and repeats that pending decision of the appeal by Govt the local authorities may be directed to stay execution proceedings.

Submtd - for orders - the Dy Commrs.

NC(85) 11.1.1916. report has not been received

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30-6-15

. R. S. 12]

1227 3

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 308 of 1915.

Serial No.

The facts of the case are not known clearly. But the Advocate on behalf of the Swamigalu prays for a stay ~~order~~ of the execution of the Deputy Commr's order passed in the case.

27.1.16

Subd to the member under the circumstances represented. Stay of execution may be ordered

28.1.16

all right

31/1/16

1/2/16

1.2.16

Submits with draft ordered amendment

1-2-16.

1/2/16

5 D. C. Shimoga No. 1840 Dt. 4.2.1916. Forwards the above petition & requests that pending orders of Govt

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30-6-15

on the appeal, the execution of the order appealed against may be stayed and that the records called for may be expedited

6. To. Mr C. Krishna Rao, Advocate

No 1841 D 4 2. 916

Informed that a stay order has been issued to D. C

7 From, The Dy Commr, Batticaloa Dis.

Letter no 330/mus 63 Dy 11. 2 1916.

Submits a detailed report called for in Serial 2.

The Treasury Assistant Commrs Memorandum Dy 25. 7 1915, and the Dy. Commrs. orders Dy 6th August 1915 appealed against, explain the facts of the case fully.

As the subject-matter of the case relates to the Archakship of Batticaloa Sangadharaswara Swami Temple, the case will if directed, be posted for hearing along with other cases.

Submitted for orders. Next hearing date is not yet settled

16. 3. 16. 29/3/16

29/3/16

NOTE—Contn sheet

G I 1 —70 000—17 12 15

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 308 of 1915.

Serial No.

Submit with Off. notices by the 29th May.
AK(94)
22.4.1916.
24/4/16

adj^d to 15th June
Sd

At request of Govt Advocate (2 weeks from date), the case will be adjd to a date to be intimated to the Advocates on both sides
1-6-16

Submit with Off. notices.
Appl. Interim order & adjournment by O.M. Sd.
11.7.16
Off notices ✓.
Advocates informed

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—70 000—17 12 15

M. J. 308–1915 (6

# Order.

On a perusal of the records of the case, and after hearing the parties thereto, the Govt. See no reason to question the correctness of the decision arrived at by the D.J. Court. Exhibit B, upon which (among other things) the Petitioner relies, does not — assuming it to be a genuine document — establish his right to appoint the archaka of the temple as claimed, much less to appoint one who is not a worshipper of the God Siva. The right of Rama Sastri to perform the puja as archaka has been established, and the remuneration of Rs 2 p.m. awarded is moderate & also in accordance with the scale of expenditure fixed by the D.J. Court in 1910 after making due enquiry of the trustee's agent. If, as a

matter of fact, this scale is in excess of the income of the land that is attached to the temple of which the swami is in management, it is open to him to approach the DM (Ch) and get the scale reduced to the extent of the actual income. Till this is done, the scale cannot be given effect to. The appeal is dismissed.

31.7.16

Order delivered upon the parties.

Draft for usual order

sd
31.7.16

Submitted with a draft order.

NV(94)
1.8.1916

1703
2.8.16

Lds members

sd
2.8.16

sd 4/8

sd
5/8/16

8

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 308 of 1915.

| Serial No. | |
|---|---|
| 8 | No. 435 dt 9.8.16, Secy Shimoga for info a copy of the final order dismissing the appeal in question with refce thro' letter SC, above |
| B | |

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPT—70 000—17 12 15

796

9

VII Am. 12/11.

a V

1915 16
SECTION Jud I
FILE NO. 308-15
SERIAL NO. 1

Before the Govt ~~Moorcutt of Madras~~
the Mahā-Rāja of Pithapuram -
Bangalore -

Murrai appeal no -

Appellant :-
Sri Raghunadhasama Jitha
Sri Padangalavaroo, Swami
of the Munivarma Mutt -
Bhimankatte
Tirthahalli, Shimoga Dt.

V.

Respt:- Rama Ittal
Son of
Subba Ittal
residing at Bhimankatte

---

The appellant most respectfully begs to appeal to this Hon'ble court, from the order of the District Murrai officer, Shimoga, (herewith filed) on the following grounds :-

Appellant was originally the permanent lessee of the endowed lands of the temple - Sri Gangadhara eswara Swami's - at Bhimankatte. Subsequent to 1908 he purchased the inam lands & considerably improved them. He is the absolute owner of the endowed lands, & as such, the right to ~~possess~~ appoint the archak of the temple solely belongs to him

The Court below has erred in holding to the contrary.

2. If this right is taken away by Government, then the person nominated by them as Archak of the temple can't claim as his remuneration more than what is provided in the original Muzjeni lease.

3. The scale agreed upon by the agent Hayagrivachar is not binding on the appellants, & the Archak cannot claim in accordance therewith It is outside the scope of the authority given by the Principal.

4. Respondent is not the hereditary archak, & he has no right to perform the puja

5 Narayanachar has been doing the puja properly, & there is no necessity to disturb him

6. The order appealed from is erroneous

C. Krishnaswamy
Advocate
12. Nov 15

---







In the Court of the Deputy Commissioner and District Magistrate officer, Shimoga District.

Magistrate Case of 1913-14.

Petitioner.

Sri Raghubhushana Vidya Sri Padangalavaru, Swami of the Mahasansthanadamath at Bhimanakatte.

Counter-petitioner

Rama Bhat
Son of
Subba Bhat, residing at Bhimanakatte.

## Facts of the Case.

This case relates to the Archakship of the Gangadhareswara temple at Bhimanakatte.

2. The management

Vide

the temple was claimed by the (Madhva) Bhimanakatte Matt. On the other hand one Rama Bhat claimed the Archakship of the temple. After some correspondence an order was passed on the 9th December 1910 by Mr: K. Srinivasa Rao, the then Deputy Commissioner to the following effect:—

"

Narayana Char the Agent of the Matt, has executed a Muchalika to the effect that he would pay up the amount in accordance with the Scale, enclosed in the record, for the regular performance of puja etc., in the Gangadharesvara temple and that he would pay the Kandayas on

on the lands endowed thereto. Further the records disclose that repairs to the temple on one or two occasions were executed by the Math authorities before. In these circumstances, Sanction is accorded to the continuance of the management of the temple by the Math, in strict accordance with the terms of the Mutchalika. There is no objection to continue Rama Bhat as Archak. The Amildar should see that the Archak's salary etc is regularly paid by the Math according to the scale agreed upon and that Nitya Kalle, Mechu Kalle and other services are regularly conducted in the temple. He should pay his personal attention to the

matter

Mutchalika? (B & D)

matter and supervise the affairs of the temple every now and then."

3. Afterwards the Mutt urged that it had the right to employ an Archak, that one Rama Narasimhachar had been employed by the Mutt as such and that the direction that Rama Dikal should perform the duties of Archak was made without giving it an opportunity of being heard. On the other hand Rama Dikal urged that the above order of the Deputy Commissioner had not been given effect to. On a perusal of the records I referred the case to the Treasury

Assistant Commissioner for taking evidence in the presence of both the parties and recording findings on the following points :-

(1) Is the Plaintiff duly entitled to appoint the Archak of the temple ?

(2) Is Rama Dikal the hereditary archak of the temple and if so what remuneration is he entitled to and from where ?

(3) Has he or Narayana been actually performing the duties of archak ?

4. The Treasury Assistant Commissioner

Commissioner has accordingly recorded and considered the evidence adduced on both sides and his findings on the three points are as follows:—

(1) The Bhimanakatte Mutt is not entitled to appoint the Archak of the temple.

(2) Rama Bhat is the hereditary Archak of the temple and is entitled under the agreement made by the Mutt Agent on 29th March 1909 to receive remuneration at Rs 2/- per month from the Bhimanakatte Mutt.

(3) Regarding the

the 3rd point the finding is that the probability is that either no puja is being rendered in the temple at all, or Rama Bhat has alone continued the puja so long as he was allowed to do so and that Narayanachar is making a pretension of doing the puja now.

## Order.

The Treasury Assistant Commissioner's findings appear to me to be correct on the evidence adduced.

2. In these circumstances there is no reason whatever to modify

modify the order passed by
dtd: K. Srinivasa Rao.

3. Rama Dhal
should immediately be per-
mitted to perform the duties
of Archak and the Matt should
pay him the monthly remuneration
of Rs 2/- agreed upon.

(Sd) K. Mathan
Deputy Commissioner

Dated Shimoga
6th August 1915.

"Copy"

Compared by
by Vaidyanatha

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Shimoga Dist.

Copy applied on 14-8-1915
Copy ready on 30-8-15
Copy delivered on 10-9-1915.

No. of words 840
Copying fee 1-0-0
-do- 0-3-0
1-3-0

2 8/12

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No 1436 C. & R. dy 11/12/1915
Rev, 308 12

The accompanying Petition Registered

No 796 dated 12.11.1915

received at the General and Revenue Secretariat from The Sreenivasalu of Sri Munivrinda Matha at Bhimanakatte in the Tirthahalli Taluk appealing against the Dy. Commrs order directing that the Matha is not entitled to appoint the Archak of Sri Gangadharleshwara Swami Temple at Bhimanakatte, and that the scale agreed upon by the Matha Agent Hayagrivachar is not binding on the Sreenivasalu &c.

is forwarded, together with its one enclosure to the Dy. Commr, Shimoga Dist

for a detailed report on the allegations in the petition together with the records connected with the case

By Order,

an early report in the matter needed

Dft

Rev Secretary.

BANGALORE, 4/12/15
191 .

P. T. O.

11/12

W D 882 -GPB—1 000—10 11-15

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್_____ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

_____ಹೋಬಳಿ

_____ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ

_____ಗೆ

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ಮೆಂಟು.

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕ್ಕೊಂಡ _____ _____

ಮರ್ತ್ತಮಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು_____ಬಗ್ಗೆ

_____

_____ರವಕ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ.

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

By Order,

*Secretary*

8/12

G. R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

No. 1437 Dy 11-12-915
Jms 308-15-3

With reference to his petition regd no 796 presented on behalf of the Swami of Sri Muniveeda Matha at Bhimanakalle in the Tirthahalli Taluk appealing against the Dy. Commr's. order directing that the Swamiji is not entitled to appoint an Archak to Sri Gangadhareshwara Swami Temple at

Mr. C. Krishnaiah, Advocate, etc. Bangalore, is informed that ~~a report with records~~ connected with the case has been called for from the Dy. Commr., Shimoga ~~Dt~~.

By order,

The appeal petition has been forwarded to the Dy Commr Shimoga Dt for report

Dft)

Sd/- 12
Asst Rev Secretary
Sd
7-12-15

GPB—20,000—7 7-14

6/12/15

1— Directs the stay of the execution of the Dy. Commrs order in the C.C.O

G. R. S. 26]

File No. 305 / 915

Serial No. 69

*Diary No. of letter replied to* 1224

No. 1840 Ex 7

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

*, the* 191 .

To

The Dy Commissioner,
Shimoga Dist

Date of issue 4. 2. 1916

Signed by M. Narasimhaiengar

Sir,

In continuation of this office memo no 1436 Dy 11th December 1915 calling for a report on the appeal petition from the Swamijalu of Sri Muniveeda Matha at Bhimanakatte, Tirthahalli Taluk, about the Archakship of Sri Sangadhareswara Swami Temple at the place etc.

*over.*

SUBJECT.

ted to forward.

petition registered
No. 1229, presented by the
duvcate for the ~~matha~~ appellant-
~~Complaining~~ stating that the Taluk
authorities have adopted
measures to recover from
the Sramisalu a sum of Rs 450/
by the sale of the matha
property, and to request
that pending ~~decision~~ orders of
Govt on the appeal, the
execution of the order
appealed against may be
stayed. I am to add
that the submission of
the report with records
already called for on the
subject may be expedited.

I have &c.

Sd
212.

Asst Secretary.

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—25,000—8 5 11

2 BS

No 1841 Dt 4.2.1916.

mr 308-15-6

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

*(Continuation sheet draft form.)*

With reference to his petition registered as No. 1229 presented on behalf of the Swami of Sri Muniviruda matha at Bhimana katte in the Sirsi taluk, Mr. C. Krishna Rao, Advocate, is informed that the Dy. Commr. Shimoga District, has been requested this day to stay the execution of his order pending the disposal of the Swami's appeal now before Govt.

By order,
Sd/-
for Rao-Secretary

Sd/- 1/2/16

1363

Mug 308 of 1915-16
SL no 7

121/1905-10. MS

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Chitradurga District.

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department,
Bangalore.

Dated 9th February 1910.

Despatched 11/2

Received

General No. 323

No. [illegible]

Enclosure No. 1 [illegible]

---

Reports on the petition from the Swami alu of Sri Vanivrinda matha at Bhimanahatte in the Tirtha halli taluk in which he appeals fr the Deputy Commissioner's order directing that the matha is not entitled to appoint the archak of Sri Canmadhareswara Swami temple at Bhimanahatte

GPB—75 reams—25 5-10

330
Muz67
Rec'd file 11 Jan
with Govt

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District,
Dated Shimoga 9th January

From

W. Patthan, Esquire, B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

To

W. D. Thyagaraja Iyer, Esquire, M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department,
Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to Government order No.1420 Muz.908-15-2 dated the 11th December 1915 forwarding for report with connected records petition Registered No.500 dated the 10th November 1915 from the Samigalu of Sri Vadiraja Matha at Shimanakatte in the Tirthahalli taluk in which he appeals from the Deputy Commissioner's order directing that the Matha is not entitled to appoint the archak of Sri Gangadhareswara Swami temple at Shimanakatte and states that the sale agreed upon by the Matha agent Navaneerachar is not binding on the Samigalu etc, have the honor to report as follows:-

1. The temple of Gangadhareswara Devaru at Shimanakatte in the Tirthahalli taluk was endowed with certain wet lands with a beriz of Rs 12-14-10 which was not recognised as inam during the inam settlement of the said taluk. This extent was marked off as S.Nos.55 and 58 of Kyati village measuring in the aggregate 12 acres and 18 guntas assessed at 54/- and registered in the khata of the Madhva Matha at Shimanakatte which was managing the affairs of the temple.

2. In the year 1906-07 the matha urged that these lands were Devadaya lands brought under 'Khalasat', that they should be restored as inam and that the arrears of assessment thereon from 1906-07 should be remitted. The lands were confirmed as inam in favor of the temple subject to the payment of a jodi of Rs 12-15-0 in Government order No.R.1270-8/M.C. 876-8-4 dated the 25th August 1908.

One Aswathayya of Mulbagal petitioned the Government on the

24th November 1908 that the temple was founded by one of his ancestors, that the matha did not pay any attention to the proper conduct of services in this temple and that the income of the inam lands should be devoted solely for the benefit of the temple. In the enquiry that was made on the petition Navagrivachar, the Agent of the matha stated on the 25th March 1909 before the Amildar, Tirthahalli, that one Narayanachar had been appointed archak of the temple by the matha and he agreed on behalf of the matha to conduct the services in the temple at an expenditure of Rs 90/- a year as against an annual income of Rs 100/-. As regards the Archakship it was found that Narayanachar was not the archak, but that one Rama Ithal was actually performing the duties. The archak (Rama Ithal) stated before the Amildar that the idol was originally consecrated in the temple in the year 1892 by one Kammardi Subbanna Bhat who made a gift of a portion of S.No.178 of Keslur village for the maintenance of the institution. The records which were submitted with the Amildar's report of 31st May 1909 were returned with this office order of 14th August 1909 calling for further information as to who was the hakdar archak of the temple and what provision was actually made by the matha for conducting the services in the temple, and for submission of proposals to take the temple under Government management, as the institution was a 'Siva temple' the matha being allowed to be represented on the body of the Dharmadarsies.

4. The Amildar subsequently reported on the 23rd May 1910 that except for special services on two days, once in the month of 'Vaishakha' and once in Kartika, the matha did not contribute anything for the services in the temple and that Rama Ithal was the hakdar pujari of the temple. The record was returned on 25th July 1910 for submission of the list of Dharmadarsies already called for. In submitting the list the Amildar solicited permission to the temple and its endowments being taken under the management of Government.

5. On a perusal of the records the Deputy Commissioner, Mr.K.Sreenivasa Rao ordered on the 9th December 1910 that the management of the temple might continue with the Matha according

to the terms of the mutchalika executed by the Agent, that Rama Ithal might continue to be the Archak in the temple ad that his salary might be paid by the Matha according to the s agreed upon. The agent of the Matha, however, declined to reco Rama Ithal as archak on the score that the Matha had already appointed Naravanachar (brother of Hayagrivachar the Agent). notices were then issued on 19th December 1912 and 11th Febru 1913 to the Agent of the Matha to furnish satisfactory eviden garding the right of the Matha to appoint a 'Pujari' to the i tion. On the 8th March 1913 the Agent of the Matha furnished Amildar a list of the witnesses whom he wanted to be examined matter. The case was posted to 5th July 1913 after a few adjou prayed for by Hayagrivachar to produce the documents in the possession of the Matha which were said to have been filed in South Canara courts, but came to an abrupt close as Hayagrivach declined to abide by this office order of the 9th December 1910 the score that it was passed without giving the matha an opport of being heard and that the matha was entitled to appoint whom-soever it pleased as the archak of the temple and that he woul make a representation to this office in the matter. No documenta evidence was, however, filed by the matha on that date, as directed by the Amildar.

6. Afterwards Mr.C.Subba Rao, Advocate, filed a petition on behalf of the matha on the 10th September 1913 praying for a re-vision of this office order of the 9th December 1910 on the ground that the swami of the matha was on tour when the order under adver ence was passed and could not furnish evidence in support of the matha's claim. A petition praying for the enforcement of this offic order of the 9th December 1910 was also filed on the 22nd Septem-ber 1913 on behalf of Rama Ithal by Mr.C.Naravana Rao, Pleader.On a perusal of the records I referred the case to the Treasury Assistant Commissioner on the 24th June 1914 for taking evidenc in the presence of both the parties and recording findings on cer-tain points.

7. Accordingly the parties with their Lawyers were heard by the Treasury Assistant Commissioner, who examined their witnesses

and the exhibits filed by them. He also recorded and considered the evidence adduced on both sides and submitted the records on the 25th July 1915 with his findings on the issues framed above with a memorandum containing full reasons thereon.

8. Upon a careful perusal of the evidence adduced by the contending parties, an order was passed by me on the 6th August 1915 to the effect that the Treasury Assistant Commissioner's findings appeared quite correct, that there was no reason whatever to modify the order passed by Mr.K.Sreerivasa Rao that Rama Ithal should immediately be permitted to perform the duties of archal and that the matha should pay him the monthly remuneration of Rs 9/- agreed upon. It is against this order that the Swamigalu of the Sunivrinda matha at Bhimanakatte has now appealed to Government.

9. The entire file of vernacular correspondence ending with the Tirthahalli Taluk Amildar's ittilla No.861 dated the 20th January 1916 is herewith submitted. The memorandum of the Treasury Assistant Commissioner, the several exhibits filed by the two parties, the copy of the order now appealed from and endorsements issued to both parties etc ~~xxxx~~ form part of the vernacular file as can be seen from the ferist.

10. The petition and its enclosures received with the order under advertance are herewith retransmitted.

11. I may add that the Government have in their letter No.1940/ Muz.208-15-5 dated 4th January 1916 directed stay of enforcement of this office order pending disposal of the appeal.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,

Shimoga District.

C.N.R.

(G)

# Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

---

Appeal No. 1 of 1915-16

---

| Appellant. | Vs. | Respondent |
|---|---|---|
| Swamigalu of Sri Munieswida matha at Bhimanakatte. | | Rama Ithal Son of Subba Ithal. |

Subject.

Right to appoint The Archak of Sri Gangadhareswara Swami Temple at Bhimanakatte in the Tirthahalli Talux.

Order

On a perusal of the records of the case and after hearing the parties, the Government see no reason to question the correctness of the deci

GPB—1,000—8.8.11

arrived at by the
Deputy Commissioner.
Exhibit B, upon which
(among other things) the
Swami relies, does not—
assuming it to be a
genuine document—
establish his right to
appoint the Archak of
the Temple as claimed,
much less to appoint one
who is not a worshipper
of the god Siva. The
right of Rama Ithal
to perform the puja
as Archak has been
established, and the
remuneration of Rs 2/-
a month awarded is
moderate and also in
accordance with the scale
of expenditure fixed
by the Dy. Commissioner—

order no. 779 dated
- 1910

(Ex. A)

*(document of Krodhana Samvatsara executed by Annaiah and Gangadharaiah in favor of the Matha) Ex F,

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

after making enquiry of the Mathas' Agent. If, as a matter of fact, the Scale is in excess of the income of the lands that are attached to the Temple and of which the Swami is in management, it is open to him to approach the Dy: Commr and get the scale re to the extent of the income. Till this is done, the scale already fixed must be given effect to. The appeal is dismissed.

Memo No. 435 Dt 9-8-916
Mms 308-15-8
Encl.

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Dy. Commr., Shimoga Distt together with the entire

32

~~Vernacular~~ records

(~~Containing the memorandum~~ (Para 9 of the D.C's letter under acknowledgement)

of the Inq. Asstt Commrs.,

the several exhibits filed by

the two parties, the copy of

the order appealed against

and Endts issued ~~by the~~

~~both parties by the Dy. Comm.~~ etc.)

forwarded

~~received~~ with his letter

no. 330/Muz. 63 Dy 9th

February 1916.

Submitted.

The order may be

printed.

Yr

Sd.

2.8.16

By order,

Sd

2.8.16

for General Secretary.

Sd

1.8.16.

Sd

No. 435—Muz. 308-15-8, DATED 9TH AUGUST 1916.

## BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Appeal No. 1 of 1915-16.

---

| *Appellant* | *vs.* | *Respondent* |
|---|---|---|
| Swamigalu of Sri Munivrinda Matha at Bhimanakatte. | | Rama Ithal, son of Subba Ithal |

*Subject.*

Right to appoint the Archak of Sri Gangadhareswara Swami temple at Bhimanakatte in the Tirthahalli Taluk.

ORDER.

Document of Krodhana Samvatsara executed by Anniah and Gangadhariah in favour of the Matha.

On a perusal of the records of the case and after hearing the parties, the Government see no reason to question the correctness of the decision arrived at by the Deputy Commissioner. Exhibit B, upon which (among other things) the Swami relies, does not assuming it to be a genuine document establish his right to appoint the Archak of the temple as claimed, much less to appoint one who is not a worshipper of the God Siva. The right of Rama Ithal to perform the puja as Archak has been established, and the remuneration of Rs. 2 a month awarded is moderate and also in accordance with the scale of expenditure fixed by the Deputy Commissioner in his Order No. 779, dated 9th December 1910, after making enquiry of the Matha's Agent. If, as a matter of fact, the scale is in excess of the income of the lands that are attached to the temple and of which Swami is in management, it is open to him to approach the Deputy Commissioner and get the scale reduced to the extent of the actual income. Till this is done, the scale already fixed must be given effect to. The appeal is dismissed.

---

**Memo No. 435—Muz. 308-15-8, dated 9th August 1916.**

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoge District, together with the entire records forwarded with his letter No. 330—Muz. 63, dated 9th February 1916.

By Order,

*Secy. to Govt., Genl. Dept.*

# Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

## G. O. No. 435—Muz. 308-15-8, dated 9th August 1916.

**Archakship.**

Passes orders regarding the — of Sri Gangadharaswami temple at Bhimanakatte in the Tirthahalli Taluk.

W D 452—GPB—10 8 16—250

IN THE ~~COURT~~ OF the Office of the Inspector General of Registration

NO. Appeal 1915-16

~~Plaintiff~~.

~~Petitioner or~~ Appellant

Rajamuvaralada Swamy

~~Defendant~~.

Opponent or Respondent.

R




Vs.

I by... Rama Rao ... ... ...

to

## Mr. C. Vedaji Rao Sahib, Advocate, Bangalore.

I ..... hereby appoint Mr. C. Vedaji Rao Sahib, as ..... Advocate in person or by proxy, to appear in, and conduct for and on. ..... behalf, the above case, as well as any proceeding arising out of and connected with the same, and to do all lawful acts, such as, withdrawal, compromise, submission to arbitration, execution of documents &c., as he may deem necessary for the due conduct thereof ;

II And ..... further authorise the said advocate to apply for and obtain copies and return of document to execute the decree or order passed in..... favour to bid for and purchase properties brought for sale, to receive and give vaild receipts and discharges for, any money document or other moveable property, that is or may become payable or deliverable to..... ..., in the course of the said case or proceeding.

III ..... hereby agree and undertake to be bound by all such acts, and appearences as if done by..... ... in person.

In witness whereof ..... have hereunto set ..... hand this ..... 29 ..... day of ..... May ..... 1916.

Accepted

C. Vedaji Rao
14/5/16.
*Advocate*

The above executant ..... personally known to me and has or have signed this in my presence.

C. Vedaji Rao
*Advocate*

The Irish Press, Bangalore City.




ಸ್ಮಾ. ನಾ ಅ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ.

(ಹುಬ್ಬಳ್ಳಿ)

ಸಕ ೧೯೧೦ ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ನಂಬರ್

ಅಜಿದಾರ
(ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ) ವಾದಿ.

ಎದುರಿ
(ಎದುರ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ) ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ

ಶ್ರೀ ರಮ್ಯ ಭಾಗವತ ಶಿಕಾರಿ

ಶ್ರೀ ಪಾದಂ ನಮ್ಮವರು

ಶಿಲಾಶಾಸನ ಪ್ರಾಚ್ಯವಸ್ತು

ಸಂಶೋಧನಾ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆ

V.

ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಅಡ್ವೋಕೇಟ್ ಮೆ|| ಸಿ. ಸುಬ್ಬರಾವ್, ಬಿ.ಎ.ಬಿ.ಎಲ್. ರವರಿಗೆ.

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಅಡ್ವೋಕೇಟ್ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೃಷ್ಣರಾವ್ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಬರೆಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್‌ನಾಮೆ.

ಈ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ತಾವು ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆ ನಡೆಸುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಅರ್ಜಿ ವಗೈರೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟು, ವಿವಾದಿಸಿ ಫೈಸಲ್ ಹೊಂದತಕ್ಕ ಬಗ್ಯೂ, ಡಿಕ್ರಿ ಜಾರೀಕ್ರಮ ನಡೆಸತಕ್ಕ ಬಗ್ಯೂ, ದಸ್ತೈವಜು ವಗೈರೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ರಶೀದಿ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೂ, ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ವಾಪಸು ಆಗತಕ್ಕ ದಾವಾ ಸ್ಟಾಂಪು ಪ್ರೋಸೆಸ್ ಸ್ಟಾಂಪು ಮತ್ತು ಡಿಕ್ರಿಹಣ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಭತ್ಯ ಇತ್ಯಾದಿ ಇತರ ವಿಧವಾದ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಮೊಬಲಗು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವದಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹಾ ತಮಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ಭಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವೇ ಆಗಲಿ ತಮಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಇನ್ಯಾವ ಲಾಯರೇ ಆಗಲಿ ನನಿಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ನಡೆಸುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡೆಸಿದಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಲು ಇದರಿಂದ ಬದ್ದನಾಗಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ತಾರೀಖು ನೇ
೧೯೧೦ ನೇ ಇಸವಿ

ರುಜು.

ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ.
C. Krishna Rao
Advocate for appellant
12. Nov 76
Counsel for

ಈ ಮೊಖ್ತ್ಯಾರ್‌ನಾಮೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಿಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯಸ್ಥರು, ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ